ABBY HERZBERG, State Bar No. 265582 1 BAY AREA LEGAL AID 1735 Telegraph Ave. 2 Oakland, CA 94612 aherzberg@baylegal.org 3 Phone: (510) 250-5210 (510) 663-4740 4 Fax: 5 Attorney for Plaintiff 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 Jerry Huggins, Plaintiff. 13 v. 14 Nancy A. Berryhill, 15 16 Defendant 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

Jerry Huggins,
Plaintiff,
v.

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
FOR FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE REPLY

Defendant

4:17-cv-02566-KAW

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER
FOR FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE REPLY

The parties hereto, by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby stipulate that Plaintiff, Jerry Huggins, shall have an additional 30 days, until September 19, 2018, in which to file and serve a reply in this action. This is the first stipulation for extended time requested by Plaintiff for the reply in this matter. This is necessary due to an unexpected substitution of counsel made necessary by prior extensions in this case as well as the time taken by the Appeals Council to decide whether or not to agree to voluntary remand.

Specifically, Plaintiff's prior attorney (Joanna Parnes) reviewed the record, drafted the motion for summary judgment, and intended to draft and file the reply prior to going on maternity leave on August 1, 2018, but was unable to do so after Defendant's third extension. Accordingly, the

1

1	undersigned recently substituted in as counsel. Counsel for Defendant considered voluntary remand	
2	of this case, but the case was not suitable for remand. Counsel for Defendant notified Plaintiff's	
3	counsel regarding the decision regarding voluntary remand on August 15, 2018. In the interest of	
4	efficiency, the undersigned began reviewing the record and drafting the reply after she heard back	
5	from Counsel for Defendant regarding remand. For these reasons, and due to preexisting as well as	
6	additional unexpected and unavoidable workload demands, an extension is necessary for Plaintiff to	
7	sufficiently review the record and draft the reply.	
8		
9		Respectfully Submitted,
10		
11	Dated: August 16, 2018	By:/s/
12		Abby Herzberg
13		Attorney for Plaintiff
14	Dated: January 10, 2018	By:/s (as authorized via email on 8/16/28)
15		Tina Laxmi Naicker
16		Office of General Counsel
17		Social Security Administration
18	[ <del>PROPOSED</del> ] ORDER:	
19	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED	
20	Dated: <u>8/17/18</u> By: _	Landes Westmole
21	U.S. Magistrate Judge Kandis A. Westmore	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		